381-08/MEU/SL	r n	
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR L	LP	
Attorneys for Plaintiff PENGUIN MARITIME LTD.		
80 Pine Street		
in the control of the		
New York, NY 10005 (212) 425-1900		
(212) 423-1900 (212) 425-1901 fax		
(212) 423-1901 lax		
Michael E. Unger (MU 0045)		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU	JRT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW	•	
PENGUIN MARITIME LTD.,		*UNDER TEMPORARY SEAL *
,		00 CN/ (FE0 (ICD)
	Plaintiff,	08 CV 6570 (JSR)
		UNGER AFFIDAVIT
-against-		OF GOOD FAITH IN
		SUPPORT OF APPLICATION
LEE & MUIRHEAD LTD.,	~~	FOR AN ORDER
a/k/a LEE & MUIRHEAD PVT LTI	).,	TEMPORARILY SEALING
	Defendant.	COURT FILE
	X	
State of New York )	•	
) ss.:		
County of New York )		

MICHAEL E. UNGER, being duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, admitted to practice before this Court and I am an attorney associated with the law firm of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff herein.
- 2. This Affidavit is submitted as evidence of the good faith basis in support of Plaintiff's application for an Order temporarily sealing the court file.
- 3. This is a *ex parte* maritime attachment action brought pursuant to Supplemental Admiralty Rule B in support of Plaintiff Penguin Maritime Ltd. ("Penguin")'s underlying NYDOCS1/309412.1

maritime claims against Defendant Lee & Muirhead Ltd., a/k/a Lee & Muirhead Pvt Ltd. ("L&M").

- 4. To circumvent the ex parte nature of the Rule B remedy, a maritime trade publication known as TradeWinds publishes Rule B attachment applications filed in the District in its weekly paper edition and Internet website which is updated daily. Such publication effectively provides Rule B defendants with de facto notice of the attachments, sometimes as early as the date the applications are filed before the plaintiffs are able to serve garnishes with the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment. Consequently, Rule B defendants are able to re-route their assets in evasion of the Orders of Attachment pending in the District.
- 5. TradeWinds regularly publishes newsworthy Rule B attachment applications that seek security in support of claims for large amounts, involve high-profile parties and raise controversial legal issues. The instant application satisfies all three prerequisites: Plaintiff's claim is for an amount in excess of \$2 million, Defendant L&M is affiliated with the high-profile Indian conglomerate Lemur Group and the instant application raises the controversial legal issue of whether the scope of a Rule B attachment reaches electronic funds transfers being sent to the defendant.
- In addition, I have contacted Bob Rust at TradeWinds to request that the instant 5. Rule B application not be published so that the ex parte nature of the Rule B remedy is not destroyed prematurely. Mr. Rust denied my request and confirmed that TradeWinds will continue with its practice of publishing Rule B attachments in its paper and website publications.
- 6. Based on past experiences, we have significant less success in obtaining security via the Rule B remedy when the attachment is published in TradeWinds.

NYDOCS1/309412.1 2 8. Upon the first attachment of Defendant's property pursuant to the Attachment Order, Plaintiff will notify the Court and request that the temporary seal be lifted, in conjunction with Plaintiff's duty to provide prompt notice of attachment to Defendant in accordance with Local Admiralty Rule B.2.

MICHAEL E. UNGER

Sworn to before me this 28th day of May, 2008

Notary Public

MELISSA COLFORD
Commissioner of Deeds
City of New York-No. 5-1692
Certificate Filed in New York
Commission Expires 4/1/